Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/737,397	KELLEY, CHRISTOPHER L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Sylvia R. MacArthur.	(3)	
(2) <u>Rose Keagy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 July 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none	•	•
Claims discussed: 1 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Spire		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Atty. Keagy to inform her that that application was in condition for allowance, but required better language to clarify the position of the cooling conduit as recited in claims 1 and 19. Ms. Keagy refers to page 7 line 9 of the specification as support in the specification that the cooling conduit generally encircles the aperture. Examiner MacArthur informed Ms. Keagy of the need to cancel the non-elected method claims and to update the title of the application to reflect that only apparatus are allowable. Ms. Keagy confirmed the amendments and authorized the examiner's amendments that will be reflected in the attached notice of allowance.